

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/606,369	06/28/2000	John R. Stuelpnagel	A-67493-3/DJB/RMS/DCF	6020
7:	590 10/03/2002			
Flehr Hohbach Test Albritton & Herbert LLP			EXAMINER	
Suite 3400 Four Embarcad	ero Center	BEISNER, WILLIAM H		
San Francisco, CA 94111-4187				
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	17
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			<u> </u>			
,	•	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/606,369	STUELPNAGEL"ET AL.			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		William H. Beisner	1744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) yill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication.			
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	luly 2002				
2a)□		is action is non-final.				
·	<i>,</i> —		re prosecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18 and 29-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
٠/ڪ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	☑ Claim(s) 15-18 and 29-34 is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 July 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen		4) T -ti 0	nmany (RTO 413) Papar No(a)			
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 22 July 2002. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Recitation of "said at least one alignment means" in claim 33 lacks antecedent basis.

Note claim 33 depends from claim 29 not claim 32.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13, 15-18, 29, 30 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being 5. anticipated by Whitehead et al. (US 4,879,097).

The reference of Whitehead et al. discloses a device for forming a chamber which includes a base plate (10, 11) which holds a microtiter plate (16, 17). The device includes a lid including component ports for immobilizing array components (28 or 350). The device includes sealant (21) between the base and the lid. The device include male/female alignment means (22). As shown in Figure 11, the chamber is connected to at least one fluid handling device (350).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue. 2.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Application/Control Number: 09/606,369

Art Unit: 1744

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 14 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitehead et al.(US 4,879,097) in view of any of Kolehmainen et al.(US 4,349,510), Kubisiak (US 5,144,136) or Hendrix (US 4,707,454).

The reference of Whitehead et al. has been discussed above.

The above claims differ by reciting that the lid supports a fiber optic bundle.

The references of Kolemainen et al., Kubisiak and Hendrix all discloses that is it known in the art to monitor chemiluminescent or bioluminescent reactions performed in microtiter plate arrays using an array of fiber optic devices (See Figure 4 of Kolemainen et al., Figure 1 of Kubisiak and Figure 1 of Hendrix).

In view of any of these teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a fiber optic array in the lid structure of the reference of Whitehead et al. for the known and expected result of providing an alternative means recognized in the art to detect chemiluminescent reactions. Provision of the fiber optic and electrical detection system would provide increased reliability over the use of photographic film which is analyzed visually.

Art Unit: 1744

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claim have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to William H. Beisner whose telephone number is 703-308-4006.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tues. to Fri. and alt. Mon. from 6:40am to 4:10pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular

communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

William H. Beisner

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1744

WHB

October 1, 2002